

Kealaula in Lihue, Kauai

Housing First and Ohana Zones Implementation Progress Report

YEAR 3: DECEMBER 2021

Acknowledgements

Thank you very much for contributing your wisdom and expertise to this year's evaluation. It is our honor to share your progress and hard-won achievements, and to learn from your example. The solution to homelessness is happening in Hawaii, and it's fueled by the hearts of its people. The aloha and compassion so vibrant in each of you awakens a deeper compassion in each of us. We hope this work serves you on the road to resolution.

Phil Anderson	County of Maui, Department of Housing and Human Concerns
Jack Barile	University of Hawaii at Manoa, uhecolab.com
Harold Brackeen III	Department of Human Services, Homeless Programs Office
Alika Campbell	Hale Kipa
Maude Cumming	Family Life Center
Kimberly Cummings	Women in Need, Kauai
Donna	HONU resident
Officer Jeff Fleigner	Honolulu Police Department
Allyn Gaspar	Honolulu Police Department
Mahealani Goo	Hale Mahaolu
Emma Grochowsky	Office of the Governor's Coordinator on Homelessness
Sharon Hirota	County of Hawaii, Office of the Mayor
Carla Houser	Residential Youth Services and Empowerment
Timothy Ho	City and County of Honolulu
Officer Alan Ibrao	Honolulu Police Department
Officer Ian Ibrao	Honolulu Police Department
James	HONU resident
Kalani	HONU resident
Makana Kamibayashi	Family Life Center
Kanoe Kanahele	Catholic Charities of Hawaii, Villages of Ma'ili
Jason Kasamoto	Office of the Governor's Coordinator on Homelessness
Nanci Kreidman	Domestic Violence Action Center
Anton Krucky	City and County of Honolulu
Captain Mike Lambert	Honolulu Police Department
Lee	HONU resident
Justin Limasa	Governor's Coordinator on Homelessness
Melody Lopez	Catholic Charities of Hawaii, Kauai
Heather Lusk	Hawaii Health and Harm Reduction Center
Nalani	HONU resident
Brandee Menino	HOPE Services Hawaii
Eddie Mercerau	Department of Health
Dean Minakami	Hawaii Housing Finance and Development Corporation
Connie Mitchell	Institute for Human Services
Lee Miyashiro	Residential Youth Services and Empowerment

Scott Morishige	Governor's Coordinator on Homelessness
David Nakama	County of Maui, Department of Housing and Human Concerns
Leinaala Nakamura	Kumuwai
Lori Naluai	Mental Health Kokua
Paul Normann	Neighborhood Place of Puna
Sargeant Dan O'Neil	Honolulu Police Department
Ipo Paia	Catholic Charities of Hawaii, Family Assessment Center
Taimane Passi	Kumuwai
Lydia Pavon	Domestic Violence Action Center
Ana Piloton	Department of Human Services, Homeless Programs Office
Jan Pontanilla	Maui Police Department
Dr. Hannah Preston-Pita	Big Island Substance Abuse Council
Anna Pruitt	University of Hawaii at Manoa, uhecolab.com
Adam Roversi	County of Kauai
Michele Seeya	Catholic Charities of Hawaii, Villages of Ma'ili
David Shaku	Hawaii Health and Harm Reduction Center
Sharon Graham	Women in Need, Kauai
Toni Symons	Hawaii Affordable Housing
Janice Takahashi	Hawaii Housing Finance and Development Corporation
Dorene Toutant	Mental Health Kokua
Lori Tsuhako	County of Maui, Department of Housing and Human Concerns
Ashton Varner	County of Kauai
Lise Vaughn-Sekona	Domestic Violence Action Center
Darrell Young	City and County of Honolulu

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....	2
Executive Summary.....	5
Purpose	6
Methodology.....	6
Context.....	8
Implementation Stages	9
Implementation Drivers	12
Leadership.....	12
Competencies	14
Organizational Drivers	16
Improvement Cycles	17
Teamwork and Communication.....	19
Permanent Housing	22
Capital Development	22
Housing Voucher Program	24
Outreach	26
Emergency Shelter	28
Emergency Shelter: Family Assessment Centers	31
Priority Recommendations	33
Supporting Recommendations	34
Stories, Tables and Tools.....	35
Bibliography	41
Footnotes.....	43

Executive Summary

During the greatest public health crisis in modern history, the people of Hawaii's homeless service system continue to make significant progress implementing the Housing First approach and Ohana Zones initiative. At the same time, their inspired collaboration also contributed to sustaining the lowest rates of COVID-19 in the nation and other related housing, achieving national recognition. Meanwhile, the local economic situation is worsening, with increasing unemployment and soaring housing costs pushing more local people into financial distress. Of those in housing crisis, there is an overrepresentation of Native Hawaiians, with the fastest growing segment comprised of 18- to 24-year-old Native Hawaiians.

The best practice implementation science model Active Implementation Frameworks provides a sound theoretical approach to evaluate the interconnected impact of the Housing First and Ohana Zones initiatives, which better reflects real world experience. At Year Three of this five part report (2018-2023), progress achieved allows for a two-part implementation analysis: policy and practice. The purpose of this evaluation is to reveal what is further required to end homelessness in Hawaii.

Since the first Honolulu pilot in 2012, Housing First continues to have cascading impact and now hovers at initial implementation with variations across the state. Evidence of advancement includes continued reductions in homeless population, time to housing placement, and rates of permanent housing placement and affordable housing stock over the last five years. The interdisciplinary and time-limited Ohana Zones initiative is now at the full implementation stage, acting as a Housing First accelerator.

Ohana Zones is highly successful for many reasons. Central coordination of funds by the Governor's Coordinator on Homelessness (GCH) allowed the state to reclaim local priorities distinct from the national HUD agenda. Ohana Zones established an innovation laboratory to explore and scale localized solutions, which is unique on the evidence-driven national landscape. The establishment of 17 programs demonstrates the superior collaboration of people within the Hawaii homeless service system who exceeded standards of Act 209. The administration of all funds across five state offices demonstrates superior administrative capacity by the GCH, as well as Homeless Programs Office, Department of Health, Office of Youth Services, Hawaii, County, Kauai County, and Maui County governments. Direct funding to counties invigorated county-level strategic planning and equitable distribution of funds across government offices promoted new partnerships.

To build on this success, this evaluation recommends three key steps:

1. Stabilize and increase dedicated funding to address homelessness.
2. Increase affordable housing stock.
3. Establish a competency framework to inform practice.

In addition, full implementation of Ohana Zones invites an opportunity to highlight innovation and assess practice across disciplines: capital projects, vouchers, outreach, emergency shelter and Family Assessment Centers. These lessons in site and program design and operation can inform program development and strategic planning, with sample tools included. The systems approach used for this evaluation also provides a connecting infrastructure for co-occurring reports and evaluations.

Evaluation Overview

Purpose

The purpose of this five-year evaluation of Housing First and Ohana Zones is to understand the impact of these initiatives on increasing access to permanent housing and what is further required to end homelessness in Hawaii (2018-2023). Building on the assessment and findings of Year 1 and 2, this Year 3 progress report is a two-part assessment that features a continued focus on policy implementation and a new opportunity to explore practice trends and innovations across Ohana Zones practice groups.

HOUSING FIRST and OHANA ZONES YEAR 3 PROGRESS REPORT

- (1) Implementation assessment
- (2) Practice assessment by group

This Year 3 report offers a systems perspective on the implementation and of Housing First and Ohana Zones to:

- Share progress
- Highlight innovation and success across the state
- Provide a reference for strategic planning
- Offer primary and supportive recommendations with examples
- Offer a connective framework for concurrent reports and evaluations, including:
 - GCH Ohana Zones report
 - Annual Report of Hawaii Interagency Council on Homelessness
 - LEAD evaluation by UH Manoa Department of Psychology
 - RYSE evaluation by UH Manoa Department of Psychology

using the following methodology.

Methodology

THEORETICAL APPROACH: ACTIVE IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORKS (AI)

Implementation Science (IS) is the study of change in complex systems. Even though IS has great potential in public services, it is underused due to the gap between academic research and real-world social services. As a result, it takes an average of 30 years to implement new ideas, which explains why more than 70 percent of system change efforts fail (Gleeson, 2017).

Implementation Science helps expedite the transfer of knowledge into practice to make the changes we want to see in the world. Active Implementation (AI) Frameworks is the best practice Implementation Science used in this evaluation because it is dynamic and inclusive, which better reflects the reality of

ongoing relationships required to achieve change. Active Implementation Frameworks continue to evolve with research. Since COVID-19, the frameworks have been updated and refined, as reflected in this report (Metz, 2021):

1. **Context** – Relationships and regulations that impact change
2. **Stages** – Categories of development-appropriate tasks required to make change.
3. **Drivers** – Core operational components: leadership, competencies, organizational infrastructure.
4. **Improvement Cycles** - Feedback loops that reduce barriers to sustainable, high-fidelity practice.
5. **Communications** – Focused support and consistent messaging.

The alignment of these AI Frameworks is proven to expedite system change.

DATA COLLECTION

This evaluation uses multi-method data collection including:

1. Quantitative measures: HMIS data and Ohana Zones
 - Time to placement
 - Population
 - Rate of placement into permanent housing
 - Number served
 - Housing inventory
2. Structured interviews: Services, Housing, Leaders
3. Observation: Monthly funders meeting, HICH, outreach/shelter, BHHSURG, County Coordinators.
4. Literature review
5. Ethnography: site visit Villages of Maili (2018, 2019), HONU (2021)

ROLE OF THE EVALUATION

Our team approaches evaluation as an ongoing partnership dedicated to co-learning, facilitating dialog, and sustaining change, using AI frameworks as a guide. Our interdisciplinary team offers implementation support and subject expertise:

Collaborative Quality Consulting DBA Focalize – Lead Facilitator Heather Henderson and Research Coordinator Jasmira Colon, MSW Candidate at Monmouth University, contribute implementation support and quality improvement expertise. Learn more at focalizechange.com.

Housing Innovations - Suzanne Wagner and Andrea White provide executive guidance, subject expertise, and international perspective as renowned leaders in solutions to homelessness.

Let's get started with a look at context to establish a better understanding of the current situation surrounding the implementation of Housing First and Ohana Zones in Hawaii.

Implementation Assessment

Context

NATIONAL

At the close of 2021, the world dares to hope for relief from the pandemic, though the challenges we face remain great. Demand has increased for COVID-19 vaccines, but this disease continues to permeate society. Even as the Omicron variant lurks, deep pockets of the population remain unvaccinated, including Native Hawaiians. (Center for Disease Control, 2021; Hassainein, 2021). During Covid-19, millions of Americans experienced financial crisis; the federal government responded with cascading support in an American Rescue Plan totaling more than \$3.4 trillion (White House, 2021).¹ Overall, this action is the largest relief effort provided in American history. In its midst, the United States is experiencing the “great resignation” -- millions of people across the country quitting their jobs in search of higher pay and better benefits while rethinking future career plans (Hsu, 2021).

The appointment of Marcia Fudge as the new Secretary of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) gives hope for greater national focus addressing housing crisis and homelessness. To that end, the federal eviction moratorium protected millions of Americans from eviction, but without federal support and legislation in place, their futures may be insecure. Meanwhile, tent cities spring up at unprecedented rates, and for the first time among homeless people, more are unsheltered than sheltered. Consequences of the pandemic reverberate in a nation divided. In the aftermath of George Floyd’s death at the hand of police, racial tensions continue to percolate. Not unsurprisingly, 40 percent of Americans surveyed report a decline in mental health status (CDC, 2020).

LOCAL

The people of Hawaii live in a context of extremes, where the greatest consequences of COVID-19 may be on the horizon. This year, the average cost of a single family home there topped \$1 million, propelling homeownership far beyond the reach of many local families (Locations, 2021). These luxury second home purchases are a driving force in pricing out local people who emigrate to the mainland, resulting in an overall population decline across the state (SMS, 2019).

With travel restricted for much of 2021, unemployment in Hawaii skyrocketed to 8 percent during the summer, the highest in the United States. While on the decline at 7.7 percent, it is still well above the national average of 5.9 percent (HNN, 2021). Among those employed, a staggering 37 percent of the state’s population is ‘working poor’ (ACF, 2021). Further, there is reason to believe this number will rise, as applications for SNAP applications have increased 28-38 percent across the state over the last year (Med QUEST, 2021). Experts say that the physical and mental health consequences of the pandemic in Hawaii have been hardest on Native Hawaiian adults and children and will be felt for many years to come (Hassainein, 2021). Of the homeless, unsheltered people remain the largest segment, with Native Hawaiians disproportionately represented, with the fastest growing group 18 to 24 years old (HUD, 2021).

Implementation Stages

Implementation stages represent the categories of development-appropriate tasks that take place as implementation progresses from an idea into practice, which typically take two to four years:

Implementation Stages




HOUSING FIRST AND OHANA ZONES IMPLEMENTATION STAGES

Implementation Timeline													
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2024	2026
Housing First													
Ohana Zones													

Housing First policy implementation has been incremental, occurring over a longer timeline, starting when the City of Honolulu launched a Housing First pilot in 2012. This was reorganized and installed as part of a multi-year Housing First investment in 2014. A monumental statewide shift occurred in 2016. Homeless Programs Office (HPO) reorganized their homeless service contracts to promote a Housing First practice. They supported this effort with statewide training by a variety of Housing First experts in 2017-18.² Overall, this policy implementation is incremental and does not have a distinct timeline or shared targets.

EVIDENCE OF IMPACT

Housing First performance measures in HPO contracts



Conversely, **Ohana Zones** implementation was a three-year and now a more than five-year initiative that requires a collective effort across all counties and several divisions of Department of Human Services (2018-2023). It has specific time frames, budgets, and deliverables. It is coupled with an emergency proclamation that expedited implementation of ideas. There are no defined performance targets and no standardized data collection tools in use by all programs.

Implementation Stages Findings

The Housing First approach is having a positive impact at initial implementation. Consistent positive progress is evident across performance measure trends over the last five years: population, time to placement, and rate of housing placement, as seen on p. 9. The increase in time to placement in 2019-20 may be largely attributed to limitations from COVID-19.

Housing First fidelity varies cross the state. The Coordinated Entry System (CES) prioritizes people for housing placement, a central tenet of the Housing First approach. However, for placement in Ohana Zones projects, CES use was limited due to a lack of clients in the database resulting in a need for individualized recruitment. Experts across the state said this was not because of a lack of people in need but rather the documentation required for CES (Cumming, Varner, Roversi, Nakama, Nakamura, 2021).

...There still seems to be housing readiness foundation with Housing First sprinkled on top. Unless we get to that foundation, we're not going to get there. We must get rid of this attitude about 'they're not motivated'... that's why we should be approaching them!

- *Harold Brackeen III, Homeless Programs Office*

Ohana Zones is a Housing First

accelerator at full implementation. The people of Hawaii's homeless service system exceeded the goals of Act 209, which stipulated the establishment of six sites for housing and services. In addition to these six housing sites, an additional 11 programs across the state were established. Distinct implementation approaches for Housing First and Ohana Zones demonstrate the value of time-limited initiatives with explicit goals.

OHANA ZONE ACHIEVEMENTS

- **Central coordination of funds by GCH allowed the state to reclaim local priorities distinct from the national HUD agenda (Morishige, 2020).**
- **Ohana Zones established an innovation laboratory to explore and scale localized solutions, which is unique on the evidence-driven national landscape and allowed for repurposing funds, when necessary.**
- **Establishing 17 programs demonstrates the superior collaboration of the people of Hawaii homeless service system who exceeded the standards of Act 209.**
- **The administration of all funds across five state offices demonstrates superior administrative capacity by GCH, as well as HPO, DOH, OYS, and county governments.**
- **Direct funding to counties invigorated county-level strategic planning.**
- **Equitable distribution of funds across government offices promoted new partnerships dedicated to ending homelessness.**


HICH’s interdisciplinary vision for ending homelessness is rooted in local priorities. GCH management of Ohana Zones funds gave this leadership office the resources required to advance local needs and articulate the state’s vision to end homelessness in Hawaii: *By implementing and sustaining a housing-focused system that draws upon the efforts of multiple partners and creates a clear pathway to stable housing for individuals, families, and children who are homeless, which requires a shared community effort and ‘all hands on deck’ approach* (GCH, 2020).

You cannot address big, complicated problems by yourself... you need to bring people together with your own staff and other leaders.
 - Scott Morishige, Governor’s Coordinator on Homelessness

A strategic vision requires increased long-term financial investment. While the time limits have ignited implementation, they also create limits. Ohana Zones is understood to be a ‘pilot,’ a short-term funding approach, which does not fit a long-term goal of ending homelessness. This time-limited approach is mirrored in annual renegotiation state funding for HPO, the state’s largest contractor of homeless services, and federal HUD funding. A short-term funding approach destabilizes all aspects of service (DeJong, 2014). When budgets are constantly in flux, great effort is dedicated to maintaining current funding, which becomes an overwhelming distraction to the real work: ending homelessness.

We’re not going to solve something in a year... Sometimes there’s a lot of money thrown at something for one year and they wonder why the problem is not solved. Homelessness is not a ‘now’ problem, it’s a long-term solution.
 - Maude Cumming, Family Life Center

While the time limits have ignited implementation, they also create limits. Ohana Zones is understood to be a ‘pilot,’ a short-term funding approach, which does not fit a long-term goal of ending homelessness. This time-limited approach is mirrored in annual renegotiation state funding for HPO, the state’s largest contractor of homeless services, and federal HUD funding. A short-term funding approach destabilizes all aspects of service (DeJong, 2014). When budgets are constantly in flux, great effort is dedicated to maintaining current funding, which becomes an overwhelming distraction to the real work: ending homelessness.



Ohana Zones Year 3 Totals

358
Beds preserved


469
New beds

5510
People served

1368
Permanently Housed

Implementation Drivers

Implementation Drivers help us understand how strategies work in the real world. The drivers are building blocks of the infrastructure needed to support practice, organizational functions, and systems change (Fixsen et al., 2005): leadership, competencies, and organizational infrastructure. Alignment of the drivers yield effective, high-quality implementation.




Leadership

Leadership is a foundational element of implementation that focuses on using technical and adaptive strategies to navigate challenges and achieve a goal (AI Hub, 2021). Leadership is needed at all system levels to not only overcome barriers but also to support teams in moving forward.

LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE

Collective leadership structure of Hawaii’s homeless service system is distinctly unique from the typical hierarchy that informs most government agencies. This presents distinct challenges. On a federal level, U.S. Interagency Council on Homelessness is a flat, interdisciplinary collective. This structure is echoed in Hawaii’s Interagency Council on Homelessness.



In addition, two Continuums of Care decide the allocation of federal funding decision-making bodies on Oahu: Partners in Care, and one for the ‘balance of state, Bridging the Gap. While the GCH is the thought leader in strategy, until Ohana Zones funding, this office had very little direct responsibility for program funds.

Across the system, there are many leaders with a long history of public service, subject expertise, and institutional knowledge. The leadership team is also influenced by newcomers with invigorating energy. Leaders expressed a passion for solving homelessness and great empathy for people in need.

Conversely, changes in local leadership can impede strategic agendas because newly appointed teams need time to learn this complicated system and its players.

Leadership Findings

Leaders demonstrate capacity for superior collaboration and goal achievement. Research during COVID-19 reveals that implementation among public service jurisdictions was expedited when value-driven relationships and trust were present, (Metz, 2021) and several great examples of this occurred in Hawaii. Leaders across the state of Hawaii in public and non-profit sectors demonstrated teamwork excellence, which yielded a number of extraordinary achievements:

1. **Sustaining the lowest rate COVID-19 infection in the nation for several months.**
2. **Rapid distribution of federal rental assistance funds by City and County of Honolulu and DHHL.**
3. **Innovative use of CARES Act funds to provide rental assistance through Oahu Housing Now.**
4. **Exceeding Ohana Zones standards.**

All are time sensitive, high priority situations requiring interdisciplinary efforts with a common goal, distinct from business as usual. As such, stakeholders stepped out of typical roles to address problems with cooperative innovation. When asked to name the most important leadership skill, top leaders across the system said ‘collaboration.’ Collaboration is a superior problem-solving approach, and operationalizing the practice makes it easier to identify and replicate (Wujec, 2015):

- Success is about what the group achieves together.
- Group discussion provides a common experience and language for problem solving.
- There’s no single correct plan.
- The strongest solutions allow for changes along the way.
- A little adjustment can make a big difference.
- Diverse skills on a team contribute to greater success.
- High pressure and low skill lead to poor results.

Allowing for adjustments along the way promotes success. The implementation of Ohana Zones initiatives reflects a commitment to the iterative approach. That is, where an interdisciplinary team

There was respect for what each entity needed to be done, and willingness to make changes along the way.

- Kimberly Cummings, *Women In Need on development of Kealaula*

committed to a prototype and allowed themselves flexibility to change a service, policy or design aspect along the way without considering the adjustments a failure. This has yielded incredible success at the design and implementation of Kealaula on Kauai,

HONU on Oahu, Keolahou on Hawaii island, and operational improvements at Huliau.

Interpersonal differences impact progress on collective goals. The same passions

that fuel advancement can also result in sensitivities that prevent partners from communicating. Experts across interviews expressed communication challenges among leaders, and shared that once the pandemic crisis subsided, stakeholders returned to typical siloed ways of working.

Conflict is necessary. We have different perceptions, but we don't have different values... if you let fear drive your decision-making, you're always going to fall short When you are in fear, you're always second guessing yourself.

- Lei Nakamura, *WORK Hawaii*



Competencies

Competency drivers are activities to develop successful practice: selection, training, and coaching. Collectively, they drive the professional development required to provide high fidelity service. The drivers are informed by a clear definition of job-specific competencies.

JOB-SPECIFIC COMPETENCIES

Most jobs can be described by a range of five to eight job-specific competencies. Competencies are teachable, learnable, and observable actions (AIHub, 2021). They provide a common reference for employment skills for people across a system doing the same work.

There are two ways to teach people how to do a job: traditional training and competency-based training (CBT). In traditional training, lectures are typically shared in segments, with little relevance to job duties, and job readiness is determined through a written test. In contrast, CBT teaches participants how to master essential job skills by providing opportunities to practice in a low pressure setting.



Using CBT, between 80 and 90 percent of participants master job skills, as opposed to only 10 percent of participants using the lecture method (Blank, 2009). This comparison also demonstrates little relationship between the 'lecture and test' approach and success at work. Thus, CBT skills-based learning taps into the hidden potential of every workforce, allowing more people to succeed at providing a service as it is intended.

More people learn job skills with competency-based training.

Competencies Findings

Compassion informs practice. When asked to name the skills or competencies required for ‘Housing First,’ experts across the state often said the same thing. “Compassion... It could be me, my family, or somebody I know.” Ironically, though a top named competency, compassion is not a skill. Rather, It is a value. Central to ‘compassion’ is a sense of equity with the person in need. This flattens the power differential between helper and client. This is distinct from the privileged ‘hand up’ paradigm common in social services, which exacerbates the interpersonal power imbalance (handup.org, 2021).³

You gotta have the heart for it. That’s the most fundamental thing. Sometimes officers are cold because they’ve had their own trauma.

- Captain Mike Lambert, Honolulu Police Department

Once named, we are better able to identify the compassionate practice examples unique to the local context. Acts of compassion are vibrant across Hawaii’s homeless service system. This reflects the special culture of Hawaii: reverence for life is even part of legislation in the Aloha Statute (1986), which directs people to give to others without expecting anything in return.

There is an opportunity to define housing focused practitioner competencies. While case worker competencies are recognized (NASW, 2021), competences specific to housing-focused solutions are yet to be defined. Interviews surveyed the competencies essential to housing-focused work, and the top responses are listed here. Experts relay the importance of developing ‘housing focused’ rather than ‘Housing First’ approach to better reflect the approach to practice. Competencies define required skills and help organize training, while allowing for flexibility across settings.

HOUSING FOCUSED PRACTICE COMPETENCIES

Survey says...

- Assessment
- Engagement
- Case management
- Knowledge of system and subject
- Crisis intervention/Safety planning
- Data entry
- Documentation
- Prioritization


There is an opportunity to define housing focused competencies for all job roles. Defining Housing First practice competencies is a building block that helps to reveal additional competency profiles for other partners, including supervisors (White, 2021), first responders, law enforcement, leaders, and medical providers.

There is an opportunity to define practitioner competencies for working with special populations: domestic violence victims,⁴ elderly and young people. These three populations have distinct needs and tailored interventions can improve outcomes.

There is a need to further professionalize the role and service. The typical annual salary in the homeless services field is \$35-\$45,000, versus the average salary in Hawaii of \$73,973 (ZipRecruiter, 2021). “If our industry has any hope of ending homelessness, then we need to continue to professionalize the work, staff, and wages. We cannot expect volunteer-driven, low paid, or inappropriate people to solve a very complex social issue... well-trained people doing the work should not have to live in poverty.” (DeJong, 2019).

Organizational Drivers

Organizational Drivers are the administrative parts of implementation that create supportive organizational environments for practice: data systems, decision making, and systems intervention. Like Competency Drivers, Organizational Drivers build upon one another. At the foundation, the integrity, validity, and reliability of data inform decisions and the public-private partnerships seeking to acquire required resources.



Organizational Drivers Findings

DATA

Multiple data systems in use. Overall, the homeless services system suffers from the coexistence of multiple databases that collect information about many of the same people in housing crisis.⁵ Within these systems there is often duplication of client information, and multiple interviews mention the challenges of achieving CES document requirements. This segmented system also suffers from an undercount of people served: children, non-HUD programs, and hotel stays are not counted.

The state is developing a library of locally sourced data. A highlight of these efforts is the GCH fiscal mapping, the use of Survey 123 digital mapping application by Partners in Care for the Oahu Point in Time count, and HPO's daily vacancy reports.

DECISION-MAKING

Distributing Ohana Zones funds across government agencies established collective buy-in across government agencies, but also contributed to variances. These differences include budget structure (fee for service/cost reimbursement), communications and positioning of the contracted service within the agency, contract duration, data collection methods, scope of work and related funding, outcomes expected, and performance management approach. All of these add to the complexities of implementation and program management for all areas.

SYSTEMS INTERVENTION


Successful public-private partnerships may go under-noticed. Across the success of Ohana Zones programs, multiple private stakeholders shy away from accolades. While the contributions from Arisumi Brothers, Shioi Construction, Hui Aloha and others are essential, they are also under-recognized.

There is a need for more employment and enterprise opportunities. Most current employment programs promote traditional shift work and service jobs. These present barriers to people with criminal records or mental health conditions, which preclude them from participating successfully.

Improvement Cycles

Improvement cycles or ‘feedback loops’ support teams to make change on purpose. They are integral to change efforts, from large scale strategic plans to program-specific ones. While there are many models for improvement, Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) Cycles central to the Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) approach provide a framework for problem-solving to intentionally identify, problem-solve, and address these barriers and improve implementation and program performance. The PDSA cycles consist of four steps: Plan, Do, Study and Act, as seen here. While CQI has its roots in private industry, it is widely promoted by public service thought leaders (Wulczyn, 2017).

Continuous Quality Improvement



IMPROVEMENT CYCLES GET BETTER RESULTS

Using CQI, a cyclical approach to improvement has been a mainstay in private industry for more than 75 years. This far outpaces and outperforms the quality assurance approach used for many social service programs, as seen in the table below (Dever, 2003). Using CQI, progress is incremental, not a pass or fail event, which more accurately reflects real-world practice.

Contract Monitoring Approaches	
Quality Assurance	Continuous Quality Improvement
incident-focused	evidence informed
separate	integrated
reactive	proactive
directive	collaborative
improves cases being reviewed	improves performance agency-wide
specific criteria	multiple processes and outcomes
event-based	ongoing
selected functions	agency-wide

At every step, CQI uses data to inform assessments, priorities, and decisions rather than reacting to individual crises. Instead, teams collaborate on one goal: improving outcomes for people in need. In Hawaii's homeless service system, program improvement cycles occur as part of contract monitoring between government and service providers. Contracts specify the type and amount of service provided. Service providers are expected to align program operations with their contract.

The Ohana Zones contracts shared among eight government agencies provide a sample of practice in contract and performance management for human services across the state: County of Maui, County of Kauai, County of Hawaii, City and County of Honolulu, Department of Health, Governor’s Coordinator on Homelessness, Homeless Programs Office, and Office of Youth Services.

Improvement Cycle Findings

Government stakeholders across Hawaii’s homeless service system use individualized monitoring to manage performance. Leaders across jurisdictions express challenges with contract monitoring, relying heavily on individualized interventions and collaborative problem-solving to keep programs afloat. While methods differ, all contractors use financial and outcomes data to measure implementation progress and performance. In larger service areas with 80-plus contracts to manage, this approach can become overwhelming, leaving administrators with scarce infrastructure to identify and act on programmatic problems before they erupt in crisis.

Continued under-performance can also escalate to ‘corrective action plans’ that are completed by under-performing providers. These outline plans for improvement and are approved by the government contractor. The ultimate consequence for lack of performance is a canceled contract.

We have a heart-to-heart with them... re-contracting is a long process, and we don’t want to do that.

- Timothy Ho, City and County of Honolulu
On addressing underperformance

Individualized approach. Not regular frequency. We do our best to be open, inclusive and fair.

- Harold Brackeen III, Homeless Programs Office
On managing contractor performance

We chip away at it.

-Lori Tshako, Maui County
On managing contractor performance

Leaders express frustration with operating in constant ‘crisis mode’ and the limited organizational capacity across the shallow pool of social service providers. Many desired to help providers improve performance, but acknowledged the limits of their reactive efforts. As a result,

They reach out when they’re struggling.

- Sharon Hirota, Hawaii County
On managing contractor performance

We give a lot of oversight with biweekly conversations.

- Scott Morishige, GCH
On managing contractor performance

Measured of performance vary depending on the service being provided.

- Adam Roversi, Kauai County
On managing contractor performance

government contractors may attempt to manage performance through the terms of their contracts.

Both HPO and DOH make a dedicated effort to define expectations as part of the contract and review the contract providers personally before the start of a new service. The use of shorter 1– to 2-year contract durations is another strategy to manage performance, while other government contractors prefer on-site monitoring or rely on heart-to-heart negotiations to make things happen.

Teamwork and Communication

Teamwork is fueled by communication, which promotes the ability to co-create, improve, and sustain progress. It is required for lasting change to take place.

TEAMWORK

The true measure of a team is that it accomplishes the results it sets out to achieve. Thought leader Patrick Lencioni explains this is accomplished with a foundation of trust built through collaboration -- the previously highlighted competency prevalent among in Hawaii leaders. Teams that trust each other are not afraid to engage in passionate dialogue to discover truth and make great decisions (Lencioni, 2002).

In this conflict, teams achieve genuine buy-in for important decisions, even if there is initial disagreement, because no stone has been left unturned. This is key because teams that commit to decisions and standards of performance hold each other accountable for adhering to those decisions. One highlight of the model is its normalization of conflict. When passions are at stake for heart-driven issues, interpersonal conflict is expected, and this model reframes 'conflict' as part of the process of getting things done.

Patrick Lencioni's Teamwork Model



PROACTIVE EXTERNAL AND INTERNAL COMMUNICAITONS

Communication is important for any system or innovation. "An effective communications campaign can inform the public in ways that broaden networks, encourage innovation, and focus attention on alleviating the struggles of homelessness." (Gibbs, 2021) Communications can be broken down into two parts: external communication with the public and internal communication among stakeholders.

Creating an **external communications** plan across government and non-profit platforms establishes a common, coordinated messaging to leverage a greater response. The 'call to action' is a key component, providing the invitation for next steps, a helpful strategy to guide the public's efforts.

The heartbeat of **internal communications** is meetings. Many organizations and interdisciplinary teams hold one type of meeting, where little is decided because participants cannot determine their role in decisions (Lencioni, 2004). The most effective meetings clarify how the agenda and decisions relate to a strategic priority. They define a specific purpose, audience, topics, and timings for various types of meetings: check-in, tactical, strategic, and review. Using meeting forums in this way introduces a fundamental pivot from information sharing to a more action-oriented approach.⁶

Communications Findings

A proactive approach is important for external and internal communication, but the audience, channels, and purpose for each of these communications are distinct.

EXTERNAL

Homelessness is an issue that is covered regularly and sympathetically in local media. (Pruitt, 2019) As

such, the homeless service system is regularly under scrutiny due to the public's concern for people in need. There is also an interest in public safety and quality of life, as evidenced by the 300-400,000 annual complaint calls on

Communication Factors in Hawaii's Homeless Service System			
Communications	Audience	Primary Channels	Secondary Channels
External	Public Individual complaints Philanthropy Private partners	Social media Television Phone Websites	Print Public meetings Reports
Internal	Government agencies Practitioners Volunteers	Meetings Emails Websites	Reports

homeless-related issues (HPD, 2020). While there are positive stories about achievements of Hawaii's homeless service system, these are under-reported.

Stories promoted by the homeless service system leaders highlight information-sharing and are becoming more proactive. While individual efforts are made to garner media attention for activities of a particular agency or government office, without coordinated, statewide communications, it is difficult to maintain focused attention to ongoing work and collaboration to end homelessness. While many media stories do not include a call to action, Oahu Housing Now, a housing placement program, makes a clear, market-driven appeal to local landlords with a specific request: rent to someone facing a housing crisis.

INTERNAL

The network of information sharing channels was greatly strengthened during the COVID-19 pandemic. Several new meetings convened regularly, while regular meetings shared information, including: BHHSURG, Outreach/Shelter, Funders, County representatives, and Continuum of Care general meetings and committees. The largest of these, the BHHSURG meeting, attracts 100-200 attendees. This is the largest interdisciplinary audience for system-wide issues and a forum for agency information-sharing and updates.

Some larger meetings include people with a range of roles and responsibilities. General agendas with a large numbers of attendees in varied roles limits capacity for discussions and decision-making without explicit structure, targeted questions or challenges to address, and timelines.

Practice Assessment

With most Ohana Zones initiatives in full implementation, there is an opportunity to explore practices across budgets and settings for learning and planning purposes. This assessment will highlight the strategic importance of the service and population served. The implementation drivers leadership, competencies, and organization are used to organize findings for the following Ohana Zones assessment groups listed here:

ASSESSMENT GROUPS

- Capital Development
- Housing Vouchers
- Outreach
- Emergency Shelter
- Family Assessment Centers

POPULATION SERVED

Domestic Violence victims – While dedicated programming is important, domestic violence is pervasive in Hawaii and needs to be recognized and addressed universally. In 2020, Hawaii amended its definition of ‘domestic abuse’ to include ‘coercive control,’ or pattern of humiliation or intimidation. This expanded definition opens a door to rethinking domestic violence intervention to include an assessment of context.⁷ This is an important step in redesigning domestic violence services, recognized as one of the most dangerous scenarios for law enforcement (Garner and Clemmer, 1986). The rate of injury to police provides evidence of the danger, and also signals that a better fitting intervention is needed.

Elderly – Dedicated permanent housing for the elderly is a critical component of housing solutions since a significant and growing portion of unsheltered people are over 65 years old. Many have been homeless for many years, like those featured in these success stories of residents from Kumuwai. See Stories Tools and Tables. p. 39.

Families – The focus on ending family homelessness continues after the conclusion of Housing ASAP, a statewide networking consortium sponsored and facilitated by Hawaii Community Foundation (Hawaii Community Foundation, 2016-2019). The critical importance of serving children is the positive impact of preventing their homelessness as adults (Collins).

Unsheltered - The population of unsheltered people has skyrocketed since the reversal of the community Mental Health Act (1981), which resulted in catastrophic rates of homelessness among people with severe mental health needs. More than 40 years later, people’s needs are exacerbated after decades of living on the street.



Jackie, 69, Kumuwai resident
(pictured at HONU)

Youth – Native Hawaiian 18- to 24-year-olds are the fastest growing segment of homeless people in Hawaii. (Street Youth Study, 2018). While young people are quicker to resolve their housing issues, services designed for adults do not accommodate their distinct developmental needs (UN, 2010). Children under 18 are particularly vulnerable because they are not counted. While McKinney-Vento Workers are available in schools, they are typically responsible for serving hundreds on their caseloads.

Permanent Housing

Capital Development

Group	Project	Location	Lead Agency	Contractor	Preserved Beds	New Beds	Managing Dept.
Capital Development	Keolahou	Hawaii	County	HOPE Services	90	100	GCH
	Kealaua	Kauai	County	Women In Need		29	GCH
	Huliau	Maui	County	Hale Mahaolu and Family Life Center		12	GCH
	Kumuwai	Oahu	City and County	WORK Hawaii Division		20	GCH
	Hale Maluhia	Oahu	City and County	Domestic Violence Action Center		20	GCH
	Kamaoku Kauhale	Oahu	City and County	Hui Aloha		37	LG

SERVICE: New construction or renovation with on-site wraparound services.

STRATEGIC IMPORTANCE

The growing list of capital projects reflects a creative array of housing solutions. There are two new capital project updates. First, the renovation of Keolahou in Hilo is complete. Ohana Zones funding was used to develop emergency shelter services, detailed later, as part of a longer-term vision including permanent supportive housing on the second floor. The second new project, the Nimitz Kauhale, Kamaoku, uses tiny homes to increase the permanent housing inventory, an important step into the tiny home trend gaining momentum, where the use of 3D printed homes,

Not everyone who is houseless wants to participate in the traditional housing system. Not everyone can handle a one-bedroom apartment.

- Maude Cumming, Family Life Center

upcycled shipping containers and a host of innovative housing designs are in use across the globe (World Economic Forum, 2021). Kamaoku units will be included in HUD’s Housing Inventory Count and eligible for federal case management funds; however they do not meet Housing Quality Standards due to the size of units and design of communal facilities, so they are ineligible for federal rental assistance funds.

Kealaua (see cover) is also newly constructed; remaining projects Huliau, Hale Maluhia, and Kumuwai are renovations. County leaders relay that renovating existing facilities helped to expedite development on Maui and Oahu, though new construction did not present obstacles for Kauai County, where government infrastructure is smaller. Experts say the key to success was pairing the project with the Governor’s Emergency Proclamation on Homelessness, which expedited development.⁸

These units contribute to the projected need for 50,156 units of housing across the state by 2025 (SMS, 2019). While there are no shortage of proposals and plans to achieve this goal, leaders closest to the work explain that the greatest challenge is the availability of state- and county-owned land for development (Minakami, 2021).

Capitol Development Findings

LEADERSHIP DRIVER

Ohana Zones funding invigorated county-level strategic planning. It contributed to the cascading series of Housing First increments I-VI on Oahu, enabled the environmental assessment on an adjacent parcel to Huliau on Maui, and inspired Kauai County’s first affordable housing development strategic plan.

Ohana Zones funding leveraged multiple funding sources. These sources include Dwelling Unit Revolving Fund, as well as City and County of Honolulu and County of Maui funds.

Several new projects include gardens to help residents grow their own food. Hale Maluhia, Kealaula, and Kamaoku all include gardens for use by residents to address nutritional needs and mitigate Hawaii’s vulnerable food supply chain (Sustainability Hawaii, 2021).

COMPETENCY DRIVER

Tenancy for new housing required CES and individualized recruitment. Tenants were identified using a combination of the CES By Name List and individual recruiting once CES resources were exhausted.

Domestic violence cannot be addressed in a specialized program alone. Key to remediation is recognizing better aligning assessment and intervention skills across programs and services.

On-site security services and house rules are essential. Ensuring a safe housing site is part of support services.

There is a lack of understanding for abuser accountability. The message [needs to be]: family violence is unacceptable.

- Nanci Kreidman, Domestic Violence Action Center

Eviction criteria varies across sites.

Some projects allow a high degree of flexibility to ensure a person remains housed. Others accept that some people will be evicted as part of learning tenancy skills.

ORGANIZATIONAL DRIVER

The pairing of Ohana Zones funding and the Governor’s Emergency Proclamation on Homelessness alleviated regulatory challenges.

Government doesn’t always have to be involved in every project. Our money comes with a lot of strings.

- Janice Takahashi, Hawaii Housing Development Finance Corporation

Some permanent housing is not permanent. Some projects have two-year limits on tenancy, which are enforced with varying degrees of flexibility. (See Interview Notes.)

Available, developable land is the greatest barrier to affordable housing development (Minakami, 2021).

Housing Voucher Program

Group	Project	Location	Lead Agency	Contractor	Preserved Beds	New Beds	Managing Dept.
Housing Vouchers	Vouchers: unsheltered	Oahu	City and County	Hawaii Health and Harm Reduction		10	GCH
	Vouchers: youth	Oahu	City and County	Hale Kipa		10	GCH

SERVICE: One-year housing subsidy with individualized case management for young people and unsheltered people in City-owned apartments scattered across sites with an option for a second-year renewal.

STRATEGIC IMPORTANCE

Ohana Zones voucher programming represents a growing commodity in the housing market as more housing vouchers become available with the release of federal funding. If voucher programs are to be successfully implemented, experts advise that a market-driven approach is needed to engage landlords (DeJong, 2014). Oahu Housing Now is an excellent example of a market-driven solution that packages two months’ rent, security deposit, direct contact with case managers, and money available for apartment repairs.

The irony of homelessness in Hawaii is that it occurs in a context where there are many vacant units. The abundance of units available in Hawaii on rental platforms, like VRBO and Airbnb, demonstrates it may be less of an inventory challenge and more a challenge of accessibility to available units. More than 35,000 seasonal, recreational, and occasional use properties, and another 17,000 “other vacant” units, await the owner’s decision for use. Nationally, Hawaii is in the top 15 percent of states losing housing units to vacancies. (SMS, 2019)

Locally, there is clear evidence voucher-based programs yield positive outcomes with great cost savings. The estimated healthcare costs of those served through the state’s Housing First Program decreased by 43 percent in service costs over six months (UH Manoa, 2016).

All participants are leaseholders, but none of them will be able to pay rent on their own.... Young people need more time.

- Alika Campbell, Hale Kipa
On vouchers for young people

However, once housed, program leaders relay that most tenants require sustained financial, emotional, and social support that time limited programs cannot provide. Client needs may be exacerbated due to isolation, since people are placed in available units that are not necessarily close to work, school, and existing supports.

Voucher Program Findings

LEADERSHIP DRIVER

Funds for repairs to rental units are limited and don't always cover damages. Practitioners across voucher programs argue that landlords' common objection to housing vouchers is concern for the destruction of their property (Okamoto, 2021).

COMPETENCY DRIVER

Keeping a person sustainably housed in a scattered site voucher program highlights important considerations about client isolation. Experts explain that both young people and many unsheltered people function best in community-oriented settings. The social isolation created by placing individuals without a community context increases the vulnerability of the placement.

Managing a scattered sit voucher program causes case management staff to spend a significant portion of the week in transit from client to client. This limits time case workers can spend with clients working on service plan goal achievement.

ORGANIZATIONAL DRIVER

Permanent housing is not always permanent. Vouchers exist in the context of a market-driven housing economy in one of the world's premier vacation destinations. While policy experts advocate for legislation addressing bias against housing vouchers, these laws are not helpful unless enforced. Vouchers only provide housing for the length of the voucher. In this case, vouchers are renewed annually. Without a voucher, there is no housing.

Practitioners expressed challenges with the limitations of placing tenants in city- and state-owned buildings. They also expressed great concern about the two-year time limitations on this 'permanent' housing approach.

There is a high rate of housing vacancy. Digital platforms like VRBO and Airbnb advertise hundreds of temporary rentals available in Hawaii. There is a higher-than-average vacancy rate of privately owned homes across the state (SMS, 2019).

Outreach

Group	Project	Location	Lead Agency	Contractor	Preserved Beds	New Beds	Managing Dept.
Outreach	LEAD	Kauai	DOH	WIN		6	DOH
	LEAD	Hawaii	DOH	Big Island Substance Abuse Council		6	DOH
	LEAD	Maui	DOH	Mental Health Kokua		6	DOH

SERVICE: Individualized community-based engagement services with temporary housing.

STRATEGIC IMPORTANCE

Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion (LEAD), newly rebranded as “Letting Everyone Advance with Dignity” is a tailored outreach innovation coupled with dedicated bed space at emergency shelters. While not specifically designed as a homeless service program, a large percentage of LEAD participants are in housing crisis (GCH, 2020).

Partnership between social service and law enforcement began when Hawaii Health and Harm Reduction suggested the program to the

Honolulu Police Department in 2017. LEAD serves people who are convicted of misdemeanors, petty misdemeanors, and technical offenses, a good fit for Hawaii where nearly 75% of Hawaii’s jail and prison population are incarcerated for these types of offenses (Willingham, Gralapp, Barile, 2020) LEAD is a pioneering program that repositions the police as helpers with common clients -- a radical departure from police as ‘enforcers.’

I have never seen PD care about people this way... they know each person’s name. They come and check to make sure they’re okay.

- Lori Naluai, Mental Health Kokua, Maui
On operating LEAD

The success of LEAD is evident in many areas including a decrease in emergency room visits of 17% for participants (Willingham, Gralapp, Barile, 2020). This is very important since many LEAD participants are part of the 3.6% of the population that use 61% of Hawaii’s Medical budget or \$1.2 billion on emergencies and temporary treatment (DOH, 2018).

The police as partners? I love it!

- Connie Mitchell, Institute for Human Services

Due to the success of LEAD on Oahu, DOH proposed to scale the program statewide using Ohana Zones funding. Leaders across the state rose to the challenge, but without

dedicated implementation support, results were mixed. In particular, the site with an on-island champion, LEAD Maui, served more people. While LEAD contracts have concluded, Mental Health Kokua continues to self-fund a version of the Maui LEAD program with limited capacity

LEAD was implemented in a crowded landscape of outreach case management across many programs and agencies. For further programmatic analysis, please see LEAD evaluations by UH Manoa Department of Psychology.

Outreach Findings

LEADERSHIP DRIVER

On-island champion resulted in program success. Across the four LEAD program settings, Oahu, Maui, Kauai and Hawaii Island, LEAD programming succeeded when there was an on-island champion. On Oahu, this is Hawaii Health and Harm Reduction, who holds the LEAD contract with DOH. On Maui, the champion was Maui Police Department, who did not hold the contract.

Because BISAC wasn't familiar with LEAD, we had to step out and be the leaders. This was a project brought to the county externally.

- *Dr. Hannah Preston-Pita, Big Island Substance Abuse Council*

Shared leadership created confusion among the organizational drivers and related data collection, decisions, and provision of service. Leaders across the state expressed challenges in navigating program implementation decisions in the local context. This occurred on Hawaii Island when HPD and BISAC ideas about program location differed. On Kauai, leaders had difficulty agreeing on the range of criminal charges to be targeted, since the crimes targeted in urban settings did not apply in the local context.

COMPETENCIES DRIVER

LEAD training varies across settings compromises model fidelity. Leaders expressed their efforts to create their own LEAD training to help raise awareness and integrate the service in their local setting. This helped to advance the effort, but also compromised the fidelity of the LEAD model across sites.

ORGANIZATIONAL DRIVER

One year contracts compromise program implementation because there is no long-term commitment to the program. Time-limited contracts were established in an attempt to manage contractor performance, however this limitation precluded organizations, practitioners, and police departments from fully investing, since LEAD appeared to be temporary.

Varied protocols compromised model fidelity. Each site selected unique parameters for their target population, client flow and role of police officers, which impacted how LEAD program services were delivered.

Emergency Shelter

Group	Project	Location	Lead Agency	Contractor	Preserved Beds	New Beds	Managing Dept.
Emergency Shelter	Hale Hanakahi	Hawaii	County	HOPE Services			GCH
	Ka Lamaku	Hawaii	County	HOPE Services			GCH
	HONU	Oahu	City and County	Honolulu Police Dept. and Institute for Human Services		150	GCH
	RYSE Emergency shelter	Oahu	OYS	Residential Youth Services and	20	10	OYS

SERVICE: Individualized engagement with temporary housing and wraparound services.

STRATEGIC IMPORTANCE

These four emergency shelters highlight the importance of this service to address the basic health and safety of vulnerable people, especially during health emergencies like the COVID-19 pandemic. It also highlights incredible partnership among government entities to expedite implementation during the crisis to ensure the public good. On Hawaii Island, the establishment of Hale Hanakahi and Ka Lamaku marks a growing interest in the use of tiny house units, part of a growing worldwide trend. The use of moveable units also introduces opportunities to explore trauma-informed site design, which is visible in Ka Lamaku and HONU, described below. See Tables and Tools p. 40.

Hear that crying? That's the success. That baby wasn't born at Sewers. There's hope. That baby is off the street.

- *Carla Houser, RYSE*

Ohana Zones funds allowed RYSE to increase capacity and add medical and clinical services for 10 additional young people with great success. Unfortunately, a recent inquiry about an overdue electrical inspection may cause the program to be evicted from its current location on the campus of the Hawaii Youth Correctional Facility's Kawailoa Family Wellness Center.

The Homeless Outreach and Navigation for Unsheltered Persons (HONU) is the only program of its kind in the nation providing short-term shelter those who are not ready to enter traditional emergency shelters. Basic medical services are provided by HOME Project of the UH Manoa School of Medicine, and Institute for Human Services is contracted to provide housing navigation services. HONU services evolved in April 2020 to address the needs of unsheltered people during the pandemic. The program incorporated the use of individual tents and remained at a static location, Keehi Lagoon Beach Park for longer than 90 days. CARES Act funding provided support to scale this program from 100 to 150 beds.

However, as critical as these services are, without a long-term investment and dedicated sites, all are vulnerable. For further programmatic analysis of RYSE services, see the evaluation by UH Manoa Department of Psychology.

Emergency Shelter Findings

LEADERSHIP DRIVER

Change in administration resulted in communication breakdown. RYSE leadership expresses confusion about the position of the program in the OYS portfolio of services. When the new mayor was elected in Honolulu and leadership changed at OYS, the program vision changed.

Strong network of relationships expedited implementation. One example of this is on Hawaii Island, the Office of Community Engagement organized the expedited set up of Hale Hanakahi and Ka Lamaku with the help of the West Side Community Fire Department and HPM Building Supply.

Superior collaborative problem-solving by County and state leaders. At the onset of the pandemic, leaders quickly agreed to a proactive redistribution of Ohana Zones funds to address immediate need to provide shelter-in-place accommodation for unsheltered people in hotels.

The County develops partners in local communities because they are the existing access points... instead of the government rolling in and handing out stuff.

- Sharon Hirota, Hawaii County

Value-driven leadership and a commitment to community service are key to program success. Shared leadership and misaligned priorities between the City and County of Honolulu (CCH) and Honolulu Police Department (HPD) presented challenges between process-oriented social workers and the hierarchical law enforcement. Because leaders focused on serving the community, they reorganized instead of closing the program. HPD assumed sole leadership for HONU and subcontracted housing navigation case management services to the Institute for Human Services. CCH transitioned from a program operator to a contract administrator.

Shared leadership created confusion among the organizational drivers and related data collection, decisions, and provision of service. Leaders across the state expressed challenges in navigating program implementation decisions in the local context. When implementing HONU, WORK Hawaii and HPD clashed over program design and invoicing. When one entity held leadership responsibilities, program implementation was more efficient.

COMPETENCIES DRIVER

Case management duplication. Interviews with HONU residents indicated cases of duplication of case management services. Residents relay having multiple caseworkers, but are unsure who they work for or whether workers are aware of each other and coordinate on housing placement.

I got one worker at [AGENCY A] and one from [AGENCY B], and whoever wants to help me... there you go.

- Donna, HONU resident

Trauma informed site design. HONU and Ka Lamaku site designs reflect principles promoted in the early childhood attachment theory and practice, The Circle of Security. The goals of this model are to address children's attachment needs to promote secure attachment by providing a secure base, supporting

exploration, and welcoming a return, and ensuring protection (Circle of Security, 2021). Parallels in design invites further exploration into trauma-informed site design in homeless services. See Stories, Tables, and Tools p. 39.

Knowledge of subject and culture is key to successful engagement. Street culture has distinct values, rules and behaviors. Understanding this paradigm contributes to better client engagement and more successful outcomes (Miyashiro, 2021; Fest, 2014).

On-site medical services are helpful. The Hawaii HOME Project provides free medical services to unsheltered homeless individuals at HONU through weekly on-site clinics. Community-based preventive medical services are a critical strategy in preventing high costs medical emergencies.

ORGANIZATIONAL DRIVER

Co-locating a new program on multi-use state land can be destabilizing. At Hale Hanakahi, the emergency shelter will be deconstructed due to renovations to an on-site public pool. Alleged concerns from the Hawaii Youth Correctional Facility leadership about electrical inspection issues have the potential for the RYSE program to be evicted from its current site.

Emergency Shelter: Family Assessment Centers

Emergency Shelter: Family Assessment Centers	Kukuiola	Hawaii Island	County				GCH
	Uluwini	Hawaii Island	County	Hawaii Affordable Properties		53	GCH
	Villages of Maili	Oahu	Catholic Charities of Hawaii	Catholic Charities of Hawaii	80		HPO

SERVICE: Safe, temporary housing for families and singles with the goal of permanent housing placement within 90 days using integrated, on-site services and extensive participant engagement. Kukuiola will open next year. These programs are classified as emergency shelter programs.

STRATEGIC IMPORTANCE

In recent years, interest has grown in the wraparound service model, Family Assessment Center (FAC) (Jayanthi and Glosser, 2021). Ohana Zones FAC initiatives at Uluwini and Villages of Ma’li present an opportunity to build on recent study and further operationalize the concept.

Services at FACs are organized into the disciplines of Ohana Nui, the overarching conceptual framework the department of Human

Services uses “to promote the wellbeing and independence.” These are organized in five disciplines, as seen in the table here.

While this approach is unique to the design of services for unsheltered families and children, homeless service experts say those similar domains are already in use, as derived from the Service Prioritization Decision

Approach to Service Design for People in Housing Crisis		
Social Determinants of Health	Ohana Nui	Service Provision and Assessment Tool (SPDAT)
neighborhood and the built environment	housing and shelter	history of homelessness and housing
health care access and quality	health and wellness	wellness
		risks
social and community context	social capital	socialization and daily functions
economic stability	economic support and education	
education access and quality		
	food and nutrition	

Assessment Tool (SPDAT) (Mitchell, 2021). Other practitioners use social determinants of health to define their approach (DOH, 2021). While distinct organizing frameworks, there are overlapping categories among them. Understanding how they align reveals opportunity for coordination across settings and frameworks. Ohana Nui is the most comprehensive of the three presented.

Family Assessment Center Findings

LEADERSHIP DRIVER

While VOM is no longer operational, the state planned to demonstrate the FAC model and relocate the program if successful. The landholder Department of Hawaiian Home Lands (DHHL) intends to use this parcel as housing for its Native Hawaiian constituents.

COMPETENCIES DRIVER

The Ohana Nui framework is reflected in programming at FAC sites. Ohana Nui programming is visible across a FAC sites: Villages of Ma’ili, Kakaako FAC, Hale Iki, and Ulu Wini. Programming at each site is unique reflecting an effort to match local client needs with existing services and community resources. See Stories, Tables and Tools p. 41.

ORGANIZATIONAL DRIVER

Limits of the original Villages of Ma’ili contract resulted in subsequent re-negotiations; ultimately, the contractual agreement broke down. After several negotiations between HPO and CCH to maintain the program, communication deteriorated and CCM withheld program information and reports in 2021. VOM closed unexpectedly in October 2021, and clients were transitioned to alternate housing.

Segmented funding perpetuates program instability. The Ulu Wini budget is derived from a series of interconnected funding streams, which provides illustrates the instability of funding typical to social services. In this field, components of an overall program may be separately funded, resulting in cascading destabilization that can negatively impact the continuity and quality of services delivered.

It’s the regulatory challenges that are hard for us. If we don’t get the county contract [to operate Ulu Wini], then we must give back the HUD grant.

- *Toni Symons, Hawaii Affordable Properties*

Limited tenancy of a program site contributes to operations and staffing challenges. Program leaders relayed difficulties in maintaining full staffing and program census at Villages of Ma’ili when the stability of the site was vulnerable.

Priority Recommendations

1. Increase and stabilize dedicated funding to address homelessness.

- Renew unrestricted Ohana Zones funding in five-year increments to be administered by GCH.
- Make Homeless Programs Office allocation part of the base budget and adjust annually for rate of inflation (Moriwaki, 2021; Morishige, 2021).
- Explore empty home tax (Finnerty, 2019).
- Establish a trust fund dedicated to affordable housing development projects that fall outside of HUD guidelines for those with less than 60 percent AMI (Takahashi, 2021).

2. Increase affordable housing stock.

- Provide extra points on tax credit application for developments that include permanent supportive housing (Wagner, 2021).
- Establish a mandatory 10 percent set aside for affordable housing in all new housing projects (While, 2021).
- Establish a 10 percent set aside for affordable workforce housing for each landholding department of the state (Lin, 2019).
- Engage private partners to act as ambassadors to help explore public-private partnerships with new private landowners, luxury real estate developers, and contractors to develop affordable housing projects.
- Establish mandatory inclusionary zoning with no time limits (Krucky, 2021).
- Explore options to repurpose inventory from platforms like VRBO.com when 30-day rental rules are violated.
- Subsidize Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) to be rented to people needing PSH using a state fund for construction and maintenance (Appleseed, 2015). Include option for landowner to be certified as a Personal Assistant Behavioral Worker (PAB) and provide case management to renter needing PSH services.
- Engage hospitality industry to establish workforce housing.
- Consider purchasing commercial business liability insurance to maximize unit repair funds for tenants in voucher-subsidized units (i.e., Chubb and Hai Group).
- Reestablish the Governor's Emergency Proclamation on Homelessness in alignment with Ohana Zones funding cycles.

3. Establish a competency framework to inform practice.

- Continue to promote 'compassionate practice' with local culture and values.
- Create a job-specific competency profile that outlines all skills required to be a housing focused caseworker and use the profile to inform worker selection, training, coaching, and evaluation.
- Establish competencies specific to special populations: domestic violence victims, the elderly, and young people.
- Create a job-specific competency profile for all stakeholders including supervisors, leaders, first responders, and law enforcement.

Supporting Recommendations

drivers	leadership	Name quantitative goals for the five objectives of the GCH 10-year plan.
		Continue to engage a diverse network of strategic partners including Hawaii Community Development Authority, and County agencies like police, CDBG and housing finance leaders.
		Explore individualized mentoring and/or coaching for leaders.
		Engage third party support to help overcome barriers among stakeholders.
	competencies	Explore the use GPS mapping technology to coordinate outreach and case management for unsheltered people.
		Use Best Practice Self-Assessment to inform strategic planning (competencies and organization). See Tools and Tables p. 35.
		Include family finding in case management competency training.
	organization	Invest in professionalizing the work, staff, and wages.
		Realign service contracts with legislative districts (Lambert, 2021).
		Create an inventory of public-private affordable housing partnerships and develop digital and print communications tools to showcase their success (i.e., Success Story Book).
		Engage private partners as ambassadors to engage new landowners in new affordable housing development projects.
		Government contractors establish consistent data collection methods and tools that promote the use of the system of record.
		Engage the business community and business improvement districts in establishing employment programming. Explore options for hourly subcontracting for industries like maintenance, landscaping and other limited commitment, task-oriented work.
improve ment cycles	Determine performance measures and design a 1-page dashboard that reflects selected data set over 12-month period.	
	Agree and implement a consistent CQJ process – See example in Tables and Tools p. 36.	
communications	external	Agree a statewide communication campaign that reflects the state’s vision, like: The people of Hawai’i are implementing an inclusive, housing-focused system to end homelessness together (GCH, 2020).
		Draft a common communications plan that includes: messaging to the public, a customer service protocol for complaint calls, and a call to action for the public and volunteers.
		Create a library of Success Stories to be used in print and digital media to reflect the successful impact of the housing focused work under way and used to engage new private partners. See sample in Tables and Tools p. 38.
	internal	Define purpose and audience for meetings. Dedicate specific meetings to strategic decision making and overcoming barriers.
		Use more meeting time for discussion and decisions, and use email, maps, and websites for information sharing.
		Engage County CBDG and housing finance partners in funding related meetings.
practice groups	capital	Include gardening plots as part of housing projects to address nutritional needs of residents and supply chain issues. (Sustainability Hawaii, 2021).
		Develop opportunities for enterprise in new affordable housing developments including combined retail and living spaces, centralized commercial kitchen facilities, and rentable meeting spaces.
	voucher	Contract for site-based case management services rather than a operating scattered-site case management programs.
	outreach	HONU: Explore options to maximize ability of residents to meet their basic needs (i.e., placing food and supplies in an accessible yet observable area so residents can choose when to take supplies, while still ensuring overall security of the items.)
		Integrate medical services like Hawaii HOME Project, street medicine and Assisted Community Treatment into all aspects of outreach.
		Use digital encampment mapping as an organizing tool for outreach efforts taking place in the same district.
		Identify provider mission barriers and resolve them (i.e., working with police, harm reduction approach, etc.)
		Explore co-locating lockers for stored property requirements at existing service hubs.
		Consider LEAD and HONU as intersecting components of a continuum of service.
	emergency shelter	Include dedicated beds for domestic violence victims in all settings.
	family assessment centers	Outstation BESSD, MedQUEST and managed care companies to co-locate benefit application and insurance services at emergency shelters.
		Use Family Assessment Center Ohana Nui Service Design chart to explore and scale Ohana Nui practice across settings and populations. See Table and Tools p. 40.

Stories, Tables and Tools

BEST PRACTICE SELF ASSESSMENT				
Team:				
Program:				
Date:				
The purpose of this assessment is to help organizations and systems assess supports and resources in place for a selected program or practice. Specifically, organizations can use it to:				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify strengths and opportunities for improvement in their current supports and resources Select implementation best practices to strengthen staff competency and organizational practices Provide an implementation team with a structured process to develop an action plan and data to monitor progress 				
COMPETENCY DRIVERS				
Selection		In Place	Partially	Not in Place
1	There is someone accountable for the recruitment and selection of relevant staff for the program.			
2	Job descriptions are in place for relevant staff roles in the program.			
3	Individuals accountable for selection understand the skills and abilities needed for staff.			
4	Selection protocols are in place to assess competencies related to the program or practice.			
5	Selection processes are regularly reviewed.			
Training		In Place	Partially	Not in Place
6	Competency-based assessment informs training needs.			
7	Training to address each job competency is clear and standardized			
8	There is someone accountable for the training staff for the program.			
9	Agency staff provide or secure skill-based training for staff.			
10	Agency staff use training data for improvement.			
Coaching		In Place	Partially	Not in Place
11	There is someone accountable for coaching program staff in their practice.			
12	Coaching is provided to improve the competency of staff to practice.			
13	Agency staff use a coaching service delivery plan.			
14	Agency staff regularly assess coaching effectiveness.			
Evaluation		In Place	Partially	Not in Place
15	There is someone accountable for the fidelity assessments of relevant staff for the program or practice.			
16	The agency uses a fidelity assessment for the program.			
17	Agency staff follow a protocol for fidelity assessments.			
ORGANIZATIONAL DRIVERS				
Data		In Place	Partially	Not in Place
18	Agency staff use fidelity data to improve program or practice outcomes and implementation supports.			
19	There is someone accountable for the decision-support data system.			
20	Agency staff have access to relevant data for making decisions for program improvement.			
21	Data are useful and usable.			
Decision making		In Place	Partially	Not in Place
22	Agency staff have a process for using data for decision-making.			
23	Leadership sets aside resources to support the development of staff competency to deliver the program or practice.			
24	Leadership develops and/or refines internal policies or procedures that support the program or practice.			
25	Leadership makes changes in organization roles, functions, and structures as needed to accommodate the program or practice.			
26	Leadership engages in regular communication with all staff and service users regarding the program or practice.			
27	Leadership visibly promotes the importance of effectively implementing the program or practice.			
28	Leadership problem-solves challenges to implement the program or practice effectively.			
Systems intervention		In Place	Partially	Not in Place
29	Leadership recognizes and appreciates staff contributions to implement the program effectively.			
30	Leadership engages stakeholders and staff in developing a shared understanding of the need for the program.			
31	Leadership creates opportunities for stakeholders and staff to learn and design solutions together to support the program.			
32	Leadership regularly communicates with stakeholders regarding the program.			
SCORING SUMMARY				
COMPETENCY DRIVERS				
	Selection			
	Training			
	Coaching			
ORGANIZATIONAL DRIVERS				
	Data			
	Decision-Making			
	Systems intervention			

Continuous Quality Improvement Planning Tool	
Team:	
Program:	
Today's Date:	
1. What is the greatest challenge we observe?	
What evidence demonstrates the challenge?	
2. Why do we think it is happening?	
What evidence supports this theory?	
3. What are we going to do about it?	
Why do we think this will work?	
4. What do we think our efforts will result in?	
What evidence demonstrates progress?	

Adapted from: Wulczyn, Fred; Alpert, Lily; Orlebeke, Britany & Haight, Jennifer. (2014) Principles, Language, and Shared Meaning: Toward a Common Understanding of CQI in Child Welfare. The State Center for Child Welfare Data. Chapin Hall. University of Chicago. Illinois.

Ohana Zones Totals 2021										
Type	Group	Project	Location	Lead Agency	Contractor	Preserved Beds	New Beds	People Served	People Housed	Managing Dept.
Housing	Capital Development	Keolahou	Hawaii	County	HOPE Services	90	100	309	78	GCH
		Kealaula	Kauai	County	Women In Need		29	71	71	GCH
		Huliau	Maui	County	Hale Mahaolu and Family Life Center		12	46	46	GCH
		Kumuwai	Oahu	City and County	WORK Hawaii Division		20	126	126	GCH
		Hale Maluhia	Oahu	City and County	Domestic Violence Action Center		20			GCH
		Kamaoku Kauhale	Oahu	City and County	Hui Aloha		37			LG
	Housing Vouchers	Vouchers: unsheltered	Oahu	City and County	Hawaii Health and Harm Reduction		10			GCH
		Vouchers: youth	Oahu	City and County	Hale Kipa		10			GCH
Services	Outreach	LEAD	Kauai	DOH	WIN		6			96
		LEAD	Hawaii	DOH	Big Island Substance Abuse Council		6	72	22	DOH
		LEAD	Maui	DOH	Mental Health Kokua		6	372	7	DOH
	Emergency Shelter	Hale Hanakahi	Hawaii	County	HOPE Services					GCH
		Ka Lamaku	Hawaii	County	HOPE Services					GCH
		HONU	Oahu	City and County	Honolulu Police Dept. and Institute for Human Services		150	2327	235	GCH
		RYSE Emergency shelter	Oahu	OYS	Residential Youth Services and	20	10	336	53	OYS
	Assessment Centers (Emergency Shelter)	Kukuiola	Hawaii Island	County						GCH
		Uluwini	Hawaii Island	County	Hawaii Affordable Properties		53	800	143	GCH
		Villages of Mailii	Oahu	Catholic Charities of Hawaii	Catholic Charities of Hawaii	80		955	491	HPO
Repairs	Kumuhonua	Oahu	HPO	HCAP	68				HPO	
	Onelauena	Oahu	HPO	Kealahou West Oahu	190				HPO	
						448	469	5510	1368	

Table reflects data available at the time of submission.


SUCCESS STORIES FROM KUMUWAI

Submitted by Taimane Passi, Program Director at Kumuwai.


	<p>“Bumbai” got involved in the “drug life,” which was the main reason for his homelessness. After living in the streets for 25+ years, he was fed up and decided to get clean and get help. After entering a shelter, moving into transitional housing, and now into permanent supportive housing, Clyde is sustainable and grateful to be where he’s at today.</p>
	<p>“Jerry” was living in his van for 8+ years and never sought help, until his best friend reached out to the Elderly Affairs Division (EAD) Senior Helpline. Jerry was referred to Kumuwai, and is now living in his own apartment where is able to recover from severe depression and enjoy the simple things in life, now that he has a stable place to live.</p>
	<p>“Jackie”, 69, had been in and out of homelessness for 20+ years before encountering WorkHawaii’s Outreach T.E.A.M. on the pier of Marisco in Ewa Beach. She was immediately referred to HONU, and was placed into permanent supportive housing withing two weeks. Today she is happy to have a roof over her head.</p>
	<p>“Ms. Barbie” had been in and out of homelessness for 18+ years. Her alcoholism and mental health inspired challenging behaviors, but persistence Kumuwai staff were able to help her move from the street into a long term facility to get a higher level of medical care she needs.</p>

'CIRCLE OF SECURITY' AND SITE MAPS


Circle of Security



HONU



Ka Lamaku



Bibliography

Appleseed Center for Law and Economic Justice. (2014) *Accessory Dwelling Units: Expanding Affordable Housing Options in Hawaii*. Honolulu, HI.

Baker Collins, Stephanie D. "From Homeless Teen to Chronically Homeless Adult: A Qualitative Study of the Impact of Childhood Events on Adult Homelessness." School of Social Work, McMaster University.

Caron, Will. (2021) "How The 'Build Back Better' Plan Saves Money and Lives." Civil Beat. Honolulu, Hawaii
Blank, William E. (YEAR) 'Handbook for Developing Competency-Based Training Programs'. University of South Florida. Tampa, Florida. Prentice Hall.

Fest, JT. (2013) Street Culture 2.0: An Epistemology of Street-dependent Youth.

Fixsen, D.L., Blase, K., Naoom, S., Metz, A., Louison, L., & Ward, C. (2015) *Implementation Drivers: Assessing Best Practices*. Chapel Hill, NC: National Implementation Research Network, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

Dejong, Iain. (2019) *The Book on Ending Homelessness*. Friesen Press. Canada.

DeJong, Iain. (2015) *Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool (SPDAT) Manual VERSION 4.1*. OrgCode Consulting Inc. Canada.

Garner, Joel and Clemmer, Elizabeth. (1986) *Danger to Police in Domestic Disturbances .. A New Look*. US Department of Justice. National Institute of Justice. Washington D. C.

Gibbs, Linda, Bainbridge, Jay, Rosenblatt, Muzzy, and Mammo, Tamiru. (2021) *University of California Press*. Oakland, California.

Gonzalez, Jennifer. (2021) "Voices from the field." Leadership Video 1. Colorado Department of Education. Colorado.

Gleeson, Brent. (2017) "1 Reason Why Most Change Management Efforts Fail" Forbes.

Hawaii News Now Staff. (July 2021) "Hawaii no longer has the nation's highest unemployment rate." Honolulu, Hawaii.

Hassanein, Nada. (2021) Associated Press. "Native Hawaiian kids see health worsen in pandemic." Coast Star. October 26, 2021.

Hawaii Pathways Project Evaluation. (2016) University of Hawaii Center on the Family.

Jayanthi, Akanksha and Glosser, Asaph. (2021) "Ohana Nui-Family Assessment Centers: A case study of a program serving families who are homeless." Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation. Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Lencioni, Patrick. (2004) *Death by Meeting*. K Jossey-Bass. San Francisco, CA.

Lencioni, Patrick. (2005) *Overcoming the Five Dysfunctions of a team, a field guide for leaders, managers, and facilitators*. Jossey-Bass, Inc. San Francisco, CA.

Lauer Cook, Nancy. (2021) West Hawaii Today. "Homeless project gets go-ahead."

Metz, Allison. (2021) Equity in Implementation. Annie E. Casey Foundation. 9.30.21

Metz, Allison. (2021) How important are relationships in implementation? Essential Implementation Series. Implementation Academy.

Metz, Allison and Bartley, Leah. (2012) Active Implementation Frameworks for Program Success. National Implementation Research Network at the Frank Porter Graham Child Development Institute Chapel Hill, North Carolina.

Report to the 31st Hawaii State Legislature. (2020) In Accordance with the Provisions of Section 346-378, Hawaii Revised Statutes, Related to Housing First DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES Benefit, Employment, and Support Services Division Homeless Programs Office.

State of Hawaii. (2017) Department of Human Services Strategic Plan.

SMS Research and Marketing Services, Inc. (2019) Hawaii Housing Planning Study, 2019. Prepared for the Hawaii Housing Finance and Development Corporation. Honolulu, Hawaii.

SECTION 1. Section 431:10-217.5, Hawaii Revised Statutes – coercive control

Strauss, Clara; Taylor, Billie Lever; Gu, Jenny; Kuyken, Willem; Baer, Ruth; Jones, Fergal; & Cavanagh, Kate. What is compassion and how can we measure it? A review of definitions and measures National Library of Medicine National Center for Biotechnology. (2016) Maryland. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Sustainability Hawaii (2021). "Ketchup and M&Ms". <https://vimeo.com/556051206>. Honolulu, HI.

The Five Behaviors of a Cohesive Team. (2021) Leadersharp.com

University of Hawaii, Manoa, Center on the Family. (2018) Street Youth Study. Waikiki Health and Hale Kipa. Honolulu, HI.

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Community Planning and Development (2021) The 2020 Annual Homeless Assessment Report (AHAR) to Congress.

Ward, C., Metz, A., Louison, L., Loper, A., & Cusumano, D. (2018) Drivers Best Practices Assessment. Chapel Hill, NC: National Implementation Research Network, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

World Economic Forum. (2021) "They can be constructed as easily as Legos" <http://ow.ly/jPmO50GReaO>

Wujec, Tom. (2010) Build a tower, build a team. TEDTalks. https://www.ted.com/talks/tom_wujec_build_a_tower_build_a_team?language=en

Wulczyn, Fred; Alpert, Lily; Orlebeke, Britany & Haight, Jennifer. (2014) Principles, Language, and Shared Meaning: Toward a Common Understanding of CQI in Child Welfare. The State Center for Child Welfare Data. Chapin Hall. University of Chicago. Illinois.

Footnotes

¹ This includes the Response and Relief Act, Families First Coronavirus Response Act, Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act, the Paycheck Protection Program and Health Care Enhancement (PPHCE) Act. These funds provided Americans with cash relief, increased child tax credits for families with children, extended unemployment benefits, lower health insurance costs, and support to small businesses.

² The complete data set for the 2021 Point-in-Time count is not available. Due to COVID-19 the ‘unsheltered count’ was not completed, and the 2021 are not included in this report.

³ The importance of compassion is receiving increasing research attention. The first step in understanding compassion is a consistent definition consisting of five elements (Strauss, 2016): Recognizing suffering, understanding the universality of human suffering, feeling for the person suffering, tolerating uncomfortable feelings, and motivation to act/acting to alleviate suffering.

⁴ The definition of ‘domestic abuse’ in Hawaii was amended in 2020 to include ‘coercive control,’ or pattern of humiliation or intimidation. Advocates find this a huge win, allowing a victim’s situation to be considered during law enforcement and court interventions rather than assessments made on the limits of a specific circumstance. This expanded definition opens a door to rethinking domestic violence intervention among the stakeholders involved. This is especially important as domestic abuse is recognized, whether accurately or inaccurately, to be one of the most dangerous situations encountered by law enforcement. The lack of success suggests a better fitting intervention protocol is required.

⁵ Databases in use: Bridging the Gap CaseWorthy; Department of Education; Department of Human Services (DHS), Benefits, Employment, and Support Services Division; DHS, Department of Health, Web-based Infrastructure Treatment System (WITS); DHS, Child Welfare Services, DHS, Homeless Programs Office – Statewide Data System; Partners in Care CaseWorthy System; and police department and sheriff databases.

⁶ Types of meetings as specified by Patrick Lencioni:

Types of Meetings			
Meeting type	Time	Purpose	Audience
check-in	15 minutes	quick forum to share schedules and activities	direct reports
tactical	45-90	review activities as related to a goal, resolve obstacles and address emerging issues	practitioners and decision makers
strategic	2-4 hours	discuss and analyze and decide approach to critical issues	decision makers and funders
review	quarterly	review of strategy, trends, and context.	decision makers

⁷ More stories at: <https://domesticviolenceactioncenter.org/30-voices/>

⁸ The Governor’s Emergency Proclamation made the following allowances: ‘David Bacon’ wage standards waived, environmental assessment after construction started, general excise taxes waived, procurement process waived, and state and county land use regulations waived.